

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/068,857	02/11/2002	Dominique Loubinoux	4068-040	8967	
22850	7590 04/25/2005		EXAMINER		
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			AFTERGUT, JEFF H		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•		1733		
		DATE MAIL ED 04/26/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action						
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief						

Applicant(s)		
LOUBINOUX, DOMINIQUE		
Art Unit		
1733		
	LOUBINOUX, DOMI	LOUBINOUX, DOMINIQUE Art Unit

D C 41 E'F C A 1 D - 1 C							
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Jeff H. Aftergut	1733					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED <u>08 April 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. 							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In							
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN							
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da).	of the fee. The appropring the final Office of the final rejection, or the final rejection of the final rejection.	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as even if timely filed,				
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>03 January 2005</u> . A bit the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any repl	or any extension thereof (37 CFR 4	11.37(e)), to avoid dis	missal of the				
AMENDMENTS 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for							
appeal; and/or (d) ☑ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.							
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1	, ,,		(DTOL 204)				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)	4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).						
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 							
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:	vided below or appended.	ll be entered and an e	explanation of				
Claim(s) rejected: 30, 31, 43, 44, 45 and 47 (see the advi	isory action dated 1-14-05).						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).							
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•					
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet. 			nce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 13. Other:							
		Jeff H. Aftergut Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1733	gu				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 4-05)



Continuation of 3. NOTE: the proposed claim language would require further search and or consideration as the specific ordering of the layers to the exclusion of any intermediate or additional layers in the assembly is now required by the proposed amendment. Additionally the requirement that the application of heat and optional pressure to produce a "solid" composite sheet is a new issure requiring further search and/or consideration. Note additionally that prior to the presented amendemnt there were 6 pending claims (30, 31, 43, 44, 45 and 47) however the proposed amendment changes the number of claims by adding 3 new claims without canceling a corresponding number of claims and as a result the amendment presented 7 claims for consideration.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: as set forth above the limiting of the claims to the specific number of layers and the specific order of laying would require further search and/or consideration. The final rejection stands for the same reasons as previously expressed in the advisory action dated 1-14-05.